Case 4:10-cr-00850-CW Document 9 Filed 12/16/10 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. CR /0 - 00850 CW
Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Javier Gutiernez-Chavez Defendant.)))
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on Speedy Trial Act from <u>Dec. (6</u> , 2010 to Dec. (6), 2010 to 10 to 10 the parties of the parties on the record on Speedy Trial Act from 10 to 10	Dec. 16, 2010, the Court excludes time under the 2012, 2010 and finds that the ends of justice served public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § uses this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance would See 8 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the proof or law, that it is unreasonable to expe	, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of resecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial ed by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, the diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given itments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
-	unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time king into account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: 17/16/10	DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney